

Campus Technology Policy & Planning Council
Meeting of September 26, 2005
1:00 – 2:30 pm
DeGarmo 551

Present: Sara Campbell, Dan Hayden, Trish Klass, Jim Moon, Brent Paterson, Beth Schobernd, Mark Walbert, and Jeff Wood

Absent: Beckie Benner, Sam Catanzaro, Bob Rariden, and Shari Zeck

Mark welcomed everyone to the meeting.

Old Business

Satellite Download Proposal:

Mark announced that he had distributed a copy of the satellite download proposal last week and he opened the item for discussion. After a short discussion, it was determined that the real cost of continuing this would be more than the \$15,000. The \$15,000 would just be for hardware and we would still need personnel. There have been no requests in the last 6 months. Dan made a motion that the committee make a recommendation to the Vice Presidents to shut this down. Mark will clean up and fill out the bottom of the form, then send it out to the different committee members so committee members can distribute throughout their respective areas.

Educause Data:

In February 2005, Dan, Mark, and Bill Cummins completed the *Educause CORE Data Survey*. Bill went through the survey respondents and created a peer group to compare with ISU. Mark has reviewed the survey results for all areas except Enterprise Information Systems (Dan will review responses to these questions). Mark will now put the results of his review in a short narrative form so it can be used in the strategic plan.

900 plus schools responded to the *Educause* survey this year with 60 of those being doctoral research-intensive schools. Of those, 42 were publicly funded and only 29 had characteristics similar to ISU. There are 2 schools with only 9800 students but those two schools were used since they have been in our IBHE group in the past few years.

We are very close to the average responses of those in our comparison group for most questions. Some differences include: We pay for 71% of our mainframe through chargebacks. The next closest school receives 7% of its revenue from chargebacks. Dan explained how the chargeback system works. Mark mentioned that telecom and communications are financed in much the same way.

Nearly 76% of our comparison group has a student technology fee ranging from \$36 to \$165 per semester. While we have no general IT fee for students, a fixed fund is available to be used to pay for student-focused technology. While our students pay \$63 per semester for network connection in the residence halls, over 60% of the peer schools did not charged a Resnet connection fee.

Illinois State has funding for renewal and replacement of its networking infrastructure. Only 37% of the schools in our peer group have that. Our help desk is open 63 hours per week with the average being 92 hours per week.

Classroom technology statistics showed that most schools had wired Internet connections in the classrooms. Our wireless coverage is about one-half of the peer groups. We have fewer projectors and document cameras in the classrooms than our comparison group. Our bandwidth to the commodity Internet is 112 mb/s with the average ranging from 12 mb/s to 1 gb/s.

Mark & Dan still need to go over the section on ARP. Mark will also go thru Campus Computing Survey for 2004 to see what we may learn about IT on other campuses.

Some ratios were calculated in the Educause survey. One example is the central IT staff as a percent of total IT staff. ISU is at 68% and the average of the comparison group is 62%.

Mark asked the committee members to be thinking of questions that we may be interested in regarding these statistics.

Mark encouraged committee members to talk to their constituency groups about these results.

Constituency Group Meetings:

Mark asked for feedback regarding each of the committee members meetings in regards to discussing the top 10 tech issues. Most of the members have not met with their groups yet. He also requested committee members to invite him to their meetings with their respective constituency groups if they would want him to attend. Committee members can contact either Mark or Georgia to get meetings on Mark's calendar.

Meeting Maker:

Mark announced that he met with the new Meeting Maker representative. The people on the Shared Personal Calendar teams met with him also so they would be able to learn more about the program. A newer version of Meeting Maker is now available.

152 Working Group:

The Julian Hall 152 working group is looking at the current data center and trying to find more space to store things. They are writing a draft report now and will be presenting to us also.

New Business

None

Announcements:

Dan Hayden: AIS:

Dan announced that they will be getting a new mainframe in on October 2 (but there may be some delays).

Heather Dehn has resigned her position with ISU and is taking a position at Country Companies.

Mark Walbert: CTSG:

Galen has passed his position on the Council to Bob Rariden.

Jim Moon: COB:

Jim has met with his tech team and will be meeting with them again soon. As a result of their fall faculty kick-off, the tech team is having a few faculty sessions talking about how technology has improved their teaching techniques. Mark indicated that he would like to hear more about this.

Trish Klass: CoED:

The College of Education now has 6 smart boards and has purchased *illuminate* for distance learning courses.

Agenda items for the future:

October 17, 2005

Shared personal calendar research

There will be two teams to present two different calendaring systems (Exchange and Sun Java). Now we use Meeting Maker throughout most of campus yet the Exchange Calendar is used in a few areas. However, Meeting Maker has become cost prohibitive and our license expires June 30, 2006. Dan, Brent, & Mark have been working on the list of assessment criteria so the research teams will all looking at the same items as they present these two options. Mark agreed to send a list of points we will use to evaluate these calendars to the committee members. In approximately 6 weeks (October 17), the two teams will present at the Council meeting so the Council will then make a recommendation to the Vice Presidents regarding which calendaring system is best. If the new system is in place by January there will be approximately 6 months of training time.

October 24, 2005

Classroom Clickers

The committee will meet on October 14 and will be ready to present at the October 24 meeting.

The October 3 and 10th meetings were cancelled.

Next Meeting

October 17, 2005

DeGarmo 551

1:00 -2:30 pm

Submitted by Georgia Bailey.