

Campus Technology Policy & Planning Council
Meeting of November 28, 2005
1:00 – 2:00 pm
DeGarmo 551

Present: Beckie Benner, Sara Campbell, Sam Catanzaro, Dan Hayden, Jim Moon, Brent Paterson, Bob Rariden, Beth Schobernd, Mark Walbert, and Jeff Wood

Absent: Trish Klass and Shari Zeck

Mark welcomed everyone to the meeting.

New Business

Top Ten IT Issues

Mark distributed the “Top Ten IT Issues...” summary received from University Advancement. As a beginning point for discussion he also distributed a list he drafted that consolidated the various summaries received from the different advisory committees. After he had discussed the items on his list, he asked for feedback from the group. Some of the discussion items were:

- Prioritization. This group will have to prioritize the items once they are set.
- University of MN has a classroom management model worth emulating.
- Distributed staff versus decentralized staff. Distributed means the major decisions are all made in one place and decentralized means decisions are made in multiple locations. We actually have a decentralized pattern now and need to review this.
- We just bought blue boxes for SPAM removal and filtering.
- We estimate that compliance with CALEA extension to cover the Internet would cost ISU about \$9m.

Some of the comments made were:

- Security and data security should be added.
- Expanded bandwidth under support for instruction.
- One of the largest decisions will be is the centralized vs the decentralized model.
- CORE services should be paid for with CORE funding.
- We need an army of student workers to work on various projects, e.g., classroom technology.
- Easier access to fast networking in buildings with older wiring.
- Updates will require upgrading of equipment.
- One of the important things that we need to do is decide who gets to decide on issues. A lot of the other issues will depend on this decision.
- We need to have a way to decide what gets done and in what order.
- People want quicker and easier access to data thus the references to data bases.

Mark made the following announcements:

- He has twice met with Barb Blake and they have been working on a budget for funding campus IT with a percentage of tuition for students to be used for technology only.

- Research work done through *Educause*, indicates that it is apparent we are not overspending for technology comparatively.
- He visited with the CIO at the University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee.

Beth asked if Mark would e-mail his list out so the group could build from it. He asked for people to send him their additions so he can begin to build a broader list by no later than Friday, December 2. Mark will bring this list to the next meeting and will continue discussion. We will work on the action items this next spring.

Old Business

Williams Technology Award:

Georgia announced that nominations are due by November 30 for the Williams Technology Award. The link to the application form is at

http://www.provost.ilstu.edu/resources/technology_award.shtml She asked for volunteers to act as a working team to read applications and select the winner. Sam, Brent, & Jeff volunteered.

Agenda items for the future:

Mark announced the following tentative agenda items for the rest of the semester.

December 5

Top Ten Summaries discussion.

December 12

Top Ten Summaries discussion.

Next Meeting

December 5, 2005

DeGarmo 551

1:00 -2:30 pm

Submitted by Georgia Bailey.